

Combined Attack on ECC using Points of Low Order

Junfeng Fan, Benedikt Gierlichs, Frederik Vercauteren

COSIC, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

CHES 2011, Nara, Japan

- ECC: Elliptic curve over finite field
 - A set of points P(x,y) and \mathcal{O} at infinity
- *O* required to form an abelian group
- But in crypto you should never see *O*
- O is not easy to deal with in implementation
 - Point addition: if $P \neq \pm Q$ then ... else
 - Point doubling: if ord(P) > 2 then ... else
- But these cases should never occur anyway

So how does your implementation deal

with *O*?

 \mathcal{O} appears

ECC background

- E over \mathbf{F}_p : $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ $a, b \in \mathbf{F}_p$ $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$
- $E(K) := \{(x,y) \mid y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\} \cup \{\mathcal{O}\}$
- $\#E(K) \simeq \#K$ with error $\leq 2\sqrt{\#K}$
- Use in crypto: scalar multiplication k·P
 - EC discrete logarithm problem: given P and k·P, find k
 - Hard because order of P huge on strong curves
 - Implemented as sequence of 'small' operations



Group law and implementation

• Addition: $P + Q = (x_3, y_3)$ with $x_3 = (\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1})^2 - x_1 - x_2$

$$y_3 = \left(\frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}\right)(x_1 - x_3) - y_1$$

• Doubling: $2P = (x_3, y_3)$ with $x_3 = (\frac{3x_1^2 + a}{2y_1}) - x_1 - x_2$

$$y_3 = (\frac{3x_1^2 + a}{2y_1})(x_1 - x_3) - y_1$$

• Note that <u>b is not used</u> in the formulae

Group law and implementation (2)

- Implementations:
 - Coordinate system: affine, projective, Jacobian, etc.
- Full domain correct:
 - Implementation computes P+Q and 2·P correctly on the whole domain
 - For Weierstrass curves this typically requires IF statements
- <u>Partial domain correct</u>: not full domain correct
 - For some inputs, implementation
 - Crashes, e.g. division by zero for affine coordinates
 - No crash but gets stuck at fixed point



The attack

- Setting: target computes k·P for any given P, k is secret
- Idea: choose rogue input P s.t. a <u>fault ε</u> turns it into
 - P' is point of very low order





Points with low-order neighbours

- Given curve E: $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, integers l and δ
- Construct $P(x_p, y_p)$ on E s.t.
 - \exists curve E': $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b'$
 - With $P'(x_{p'}, y_{p'})$ of order l on E'
 - Hamming dist. of bit representations $x_p ||y_p|$ and $x_{p'} ||y_{p'}|$ is δ
- If $\delta = 1$ we call **P** and **P**' neighbours
- Input: E, l, δ _____
- Output: P and P' ←





Attack against a toy implementation

- Full domain correct
 - \mathcal{O} and all following computations will be handled correctly
- Double and add scalar multiplication
- Input **P** with neighbour P' of order 4, inject fault and measure
- Doubling: 2·P', 2·2P' 2·3P' or 2·0 (borderline cases)
- Addition: generates always odd multiples of P', never O
- *O* occurs only after 2 consecutive doublings
- If *O* occurs during processing of bit k_i, bit k_{i+1} must be 0
- Uniquely identifies all 0 key bits (possibly except LSB)

Attack against a toy implementation

- Full domain correct
- Double and add scalar multiplication
- Input **P** with neighbour P' of order 4, inject fault and measure
- $k = 5405 = 1010100011101_2$



• Obtain all of k with a single trace!

Attack against a toy implementation

- Affine coordinates, partial domain correct (crash at 1st *O*)
- Double and add scalar multiplication
- First occurrence of *O* leaks, then no more information
- For P' of order *l*, we obtain index I(*l*) s.t. the first I(*l*) bits of k form an integer divisible by *l*
 - Also information if not divisible by *l*
- Repeat with P' of increasing orders *l*
- Requires several traces with the same k
- Incremental search algorithm, obtain almost all of k

Feasibility of attack

- Need to be able to choose input P s.t. P' is of low order
 - El Gamal encryption/decryption, static Diffie-Hellman, etc.
- Or: system with fixed base point where **P** is already rogue
 - Nice back-door: impossible to check all error patterns
- Fault injection: need a specific error ε
 - ϵ is 1 bit-flip, 256 random byte faults, only ϵ leads to P' and O
 - ε can be adjusted to any likely error pattern, in all coordinates
 - Precise timing
- Side channel: need leakage
 - We assume leakage by IFs, crashes, zero-value coordinates, etc.
- Group law implementation
 - Attack does not apply if all curve coefficients are used in PA/PD formulas

Attacks on scalar multiplication and countermeasures

- SPA
 - Solution: regular algorithm / implementation (atomicity)
- DPA
 - Solution: key, field, curve and point randomization
- Faults
 - Solution: check output point and curve parameter validity
- Low-order attack (weak curve attack)
 - Solution: check input point validity
 - Small co-factor check (all NIST curves have co-factor 1)

Attack against protected implementations

- Input point validity check
 - No problem if we can inject fault after check but before mult
- Output point / curve parameters validity check
 - No problem, we already got the info



Attack against protected implementations

- Regular exponentiation algorithms / implementations
 - Attack is fairly independent of scalar multiplication algorithm
 - Each algorithm computes some multiples of P that depend on k
 - If so, the attack applies
- Example: Montgomery ladder, 2 registers R_0 and $R_1 = R_0 + P$
 - Input P with neighbour P' of order 4
 - If 2 consecutive key bits are equal, R_0 or R_1 doubled twice, O occurs
 - If 2 consecutive key bits are different, ordinary doublings
 - O can never be the result of an addition
- Obtain almost all of k with a single trace

More in the paper

- Countermeasures we looked at
 - Random scalar splitting: $k = k_1 + k_2$, $k \cdot P = k_1 \cdot P + k_2 \cdot P$
 - Scalar blinding: $k' = k + r \cdot \# E$
 - Ephemeral keys
 - Coordinate randomization, e.g. random projective coord.
 - Random EC isomorphisms
 - Base point blinding
- Binary curves
 - Applicability of attack depends on coordinate system
 - Affine and standard projective coord.: attack applies since only a used
 - Jabobian: attack does not apply since a and b used
 - Lopez-Dahab: attack does not apply; only b is used but changing a results in isomorphic curve over its quadratic twist

Conclusion

- Our attack:
 - Input rogue P and inject fault after initial checks
 - P turns into P' of low order
 - $k \cdot P'$ leads to O which can be detected via side channels
- Requires chosen inputs (or rogue fixed base point)
- Very powerful attack on full domain correct implementations
 - Defeats many countermeasures, requires only a single trace
- Combining countermeasures does not automatically protect against combined attacks
- Countermeasures that prevent our attack:
 - Sensors, concurrent validity checks, base point blinding, etc.



Thank you. Questions?

29/09/2011

Benedikt Gierlichs - CHES 2011, Nara, Japan